


Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/825,100	HANNA ET AL.	

All Participants:	Status of Application: _____
(1) <u>Kaveh Abrishamkar</u> .	(3) _____.
(2) <u>Paul Sorkin (Reg. No. 39,039)</u> .	(4) _____.
Date of Interview: <u>23 December 2006</u>	Time: _____
Type of Interview:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
If Yes, provide a brief description:	
Part I.	
Rejection(s) discussed:	
<i>101 rejection on Claim 19</i>	
Claims discussed:	
<i>None</i>	
Prior art documents discussed:	
<i>None</i>	
Part II.	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:	
<i>The Examiner noted that Claim 19 is not statutory according to the revised 101 rules. The Applicant agrees to cancel claim 19 by virtue of an Examiner's Amendment to obviate the possible 101 rejection.</i>	
Part III.	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)